

Life Cycle Thinking applied to an immunological product (vaccine) used for boar taint control in male pigs





ENVIRONMENTAL PRODUCT DECLARATION FOR IMPIOVAC®

Authors:

PFIZER ANIMAL HEALT: Paulo MORAES paulo.moraes@pfizer.com, Jim ALLISON Jim.Allison@pfizer.com, Joseph A.ROBINSON joseph.a.robinson@pfizer.com, Paloma SUAREZ paloma.suarez@pfizer.com

LIFE CYCLE ENGINEERING: Gian Luca BALDO baldo@studiolce.it, Fabrizio BOERI boeri@studiolce.it, Paola BORLA borla@studiolce.it

Improvac Improvac A Vaccine to Improve the Quality of Boar Meat A Vaccine to Improve For use in swine only the Quality of Boar Meat 250 Doses /500 mL For use in swine only

50 Doses / 100 mL

Pigs & Sows Packaging Sows & Feed Ration **Piglets** Distribution Nursery Packaging disposal Vaccine Production Vaccine Usage VACCINE LIFE CYCLE **ASSESSMENT MEAT** LIFE CYCLE Pigs **ASSESSMENT** Growing & Finishing Consumer Usage Raw Materials **Packaging** Farming disposal and Slurry Management Distribution * Packaging, distribution, consumer usage and packaging Slaughtering disposal were not included in the system boundaries.

22 Carbon storage is not included as a carbon credit in the greenhouse gases inventory and biogenic CO₂ emission is considered out of the analysis. CO₂ emissions from fossil carbon sources and non-CO emissions related to both fossil and biogenic carbon sources are included in the calculation of the greenhouse gases emissions.

mprovac:immunological product used in male pigs that effectively and safely reduces boar taint, the off-odor and flavor that may occur when cooking pork. It provides an alternative to the heavily challenged, yet common, practice of physical castration of male piglets.

Two doses (2ml/dose) represent the quantity of Improvac necessary to obtain the full effect in a boar. The primary output following the Improvac use phase is the live pig (Kg live weight), but values have also been calculated for the pig carcass (Kg of carcass after dressing) and boneless/fatless pork meat at the gate of the slaughterhouse (Kg of lean meat), a commonly used parameter in the pork meat production chain.

Table 1. Reduction in Carbon Footprint of pigs raised using Improvac vs physical castration

Carbon Footprint (kg CO₂ e)

Although comparative data are not included in the EPD, the LCA study shows a clear environmental benefit for important impact indicators, in particular GWP, for the Improvac system vs. the baseline scenario (i.e., physical castration). When such a comparison is made, the data demonstrate that, because the use of Improvac to allows farmers to discontinue physical castration, a procedure that reduces the efficency of pig growth, the Improvac system has the added value of a reduced environmental life-cycle burden with respect to the baseline scenario.

Physical castration	Improvac	Reduction
2,06	1,94	6%
0,63	0,63	
1,69	1,59	6%
0,76	0,76	
0,23	0,23	
0,20	0,21	
	<0,01	
	<0,01	
	<0,01	
5,57	5,36	3,7%
7,12	7,00	1,7%
13,43	12,75	5,0%
	2,06 0,63 1,69 0,23 0,20 5,57 7,12	castration Improvac 2,06 1,94 0,63 0,63 1,69 1,59 0,76 0,76 0,23 0,23 0,20 0,21 <0,01

For a pig of 111 kg live weight, the use of Improvac reduce Carbon Footprint by about 23 kg CO₂e compared to physical castration



